



Memorandum

7/1

To: Ken Lay
From: Tom White
Subject: Rob Bradley

Department: Executive

Date: March 9, 1998

Ken,

I am sorry to bother you with a matter I thought was closed. I'm not sure whether this article was written before or after you issued orders, but in any case, it does damage to our wind business and seems to violate our business conduct policy.

Thanks,

A handwritten signature in black ink that appears to read "Tom".

Rob -
Is this a reprint
of an old article?
Ken
3/10/98

REC'D BY
ADMITTED

To: Tom White
From: Ken IMA

Are the merits of wind power overblown

By Robert L. Bradley Jr.
While environmentalists claim that we should look to wind power as a widely accepted short-term solution to environmental problems posed by fossil fuels, thousands of Michigan and some people believe that the decision are departing renewable energy — power generated replacement of fossil fuels.

With less environmentally friendly fossil fuel sources, climate, wind, movement of air and the combustion of fossil fuels generally falling prices, are still popular with the coal plants — the most economic concept is popular with the public and government officials.

The cost of gas-fired combined cycle plants has been widely reported to be the most expensive for central-station power at present — has fallen, located wind towers "the bright," because it is thought to be an indispensable and environment-

ally benign source of power. Yet all renewable energy sources are not created equal.

Some are more economically and environmentally viable than others. The list of renew-

able fuels that were once promising but are now being questioned on economic and environmental grounds is growing.

Hydropower has lost favor because it has caused damage to river habitats and freshwater fish populations; solar power, at least where relied on for central-station electricity generation, is highly uncompetitive, and inefficient, by 1998 with its competitive vis-

to-visceral, and also generates noise, for example, an unperceived, but serious, threat to bird life.

Wind power has proven itself

to be a peripheral, minor industry, with little commercialization in sight. In 1995 congressional legislation, for example, am-

ended the tax credits available to wind power companies by 1998.

Meanwhile, the universal rationale for the massive pub-

lic enthusiasm for wind power

is that it is environmentally benign. But wind power has at least one major environmental problem — the killing of bird species and subspecies over the last two decades — through populations — that has begun to cause serious concern among environmentalists.

First, wind turbines have killed many of their fellow protected species. The golden eagle, for instance, has suffered a significant decline in their habitat due to the windmill!

Second, wind turbines have killed many other birds, including United States and abroad in the last decade, including

3/7

Tom:

Does Bradley still

work for Enron? If so,

I believe he should

be terminated. This

article is pure yellow

journalism, focusing

on the most

sensational & biased

examples. Let's chat

⑤ your convenience. That. — Ken

Murray
Ken's
Point?